SUBSURFACE THERMOHALINE INTRUSIONS AT A MID-LATITUDE CONTINENTAL SHELF: DESCRIPTIVE CLIMATOLOGY AND DOUBLE DIFFUSION ROLE

Silva et al. [in prep.]

Danilo A. Silva, MSc

M danilo2.silva@usp.br @nilodna Oceanographic Institute, University of São Paulo (Brazil)

February 3, 2022







Outline

- Introduction
- Motivation
- Part I Descriptive climatology
- Part II Underlying mixing processes
- Concluding remarks





Subsurface thermohaline intrusions at a mid-latitude continental shelf Introduction

→ Intrusions are found in frontal zone and are related to strong lateral fluxes, enhanced vertical gradients, larvae exchange. [Roden, 1964; Ruddick and Hebert, 1988; Ruddick and Richards, 2003; Beal, 2007; Wang and Jordi, 2011]

- \rightarrow Intrusions are triggered by several processes
 - cross-shelf horizontal density gradient [Welch, 1981]
 - internal waves [Hopkins, Sharples and Huthnance, 2012]
 - Wind stress [González-Pola et al., 2005; Ruiz-Castillo et al., 2019]
 - mesoscale activity [Li, Wang and Sun, 2012; Malan et al., 2021]

\rightarrow Growth by:

- Baroclinicity [Kuzmina and Rodionov, 1992; May and Kelley, 1997; 2002]
- Double diffusion [Stern, 1967; Ruddick and Turner, 1979]







Ruddick and Kerr (2003). Oceanic thermohaline intrusions: theory. Progress in oceanography, 56(3-4). 483-497

Ruddick and Richards (2003). Oceanic thermohaline intrusions: observations. Progress in oceanography, 56(3-4), 499-527.

May and Kelley (2002). Contrasting the interleaving in two baroclinic ocean fronts. Dynamics of Atmosphere and Oceans, 36(1-3), 23-42.

Subsurface thermohaline intrusions at a mid-latitude continental shelf Motivation

A "<u>tongue shaped salty and warm</u>" pattern was observed in several hydrographic section in 90' and 2000', but till date no further details was investigated regarding this phenomenon.



Castro(2014)

5306 5307 5308

07/88

SECTION D

25

50

100

75

DISTANCE FROM COAST (km)

125

5309 5310 5311 5312 5313



С

DEPTH (m)

-100

-200

-300

-400 -500

Castro (2014). Summer/winter stratification variability in the central part of the South Brazil Bight. Continental Shelf Research, 89, 15-23.

200.

400

200-

35,4

5.0

SUMMER

WINTER

Campos et al. (2000)

Campos et al. (2000). Shelf break upwelling driven by Brazil Current cyclonic meanders. Geophysical Research Letters, 27(6), 751-754.

Brandini et al. (2014). Deep chlorophyll maximum and plankton community response to oceanic bottom intrusions on the continental shelf in the South Brazilian Bight. Continental Shelf Research, 89, 61-75.

Subsurface thermohaline intrusions at a mid-latitude continental shelf Scientific question and goals

- Are they recurrent?
- In which frequency do they occur?
- What are the common features?

- Can we evaluate the mixing processes?
- Is this mixing fast or slow?





Subsurface thermohaline intrusions at a mid-latitude continental shelf Scientific question and goals



Castro(2014)



Outline

- Introduction
- Motivation
- Part I Descriptive climatology
- Part II Underlying mixing processes
- Concluding remarks





→ Historical dataset (~1100) (BND0 + acervo IOUSP + Petrobrás/CENPES)

 \rightarrow Detection algorithm on CTD casts^{26°S} (Lentz, 2003)

- Thickness
- Salt anomaly
- Maximum salinity depth (core)





→ Historical dataset (~1100) (BND0 + acervo IOUSP + Petrobrás/CENPES)

 \rightarrow Detection algorithm on CTD casts (Lentz, 2003)

- Thickness
- Salt anomaly
- Maximum salinity depth (core)





→ Historical dataset (~1100) (BND0 + acervo IOUSP + Petrobrás/CENPES)

 \rightarrow Detection algorithm on CTD casts (Lentz, 2003)

- Thickness
- Salt anomaly
- Maximum salinity depth (core)





Spatial and temporal occurrences

- \rightarrow 27% of intrusions identified
- \rightarrow spread all over the continental shelf









General description

→ ΔS : northernmost > southernmost [Mann-Whitney Test, @95% CL]

- \rightarrow Z_{Smax}: deepening from North to South [Mann-Whitney Test, @95% CL]
- $\rightarrow \delta:$ inverse relationship between N^2 and δ
 - $\rightarrow R_{\text{spearman}}[-0.39; -0.18], @95\%)$
 - ightarrow Similar relationship found by Lentz (2003)





General description

→ Δ S: northernmost > southernmost [Mann-Whitney Test, @95% CL]

- $\rightarrow Z_{Smax}$: deepening from North to South [Mann-Whitney Test, @95% CL]
- → δ : inverse relationship between N² and δ → R_{spearman} [-0.39; -0.18], @95%) → Similar relationship found by Lentz (2003)





General description

- → \Delta S: northernmost > southernmost [Mann-Whitney Test, @95% CL]
- $\rightarrow Z_{Smax}$: deepening from North to South [Mann-Whitney Test, @95% CL]
- $\rightarrow \delta:$ inverse relationship between N^2 and δ
 - $\rightarrow R_{\text{spearman}}$ [-0.39; -0.18], @95%)
 - \rightarrow Similar relationship found by Lentz (2003)





<u>Seasonal description</u>

→ Mid-Northern (MN): winter > summer





Water mass percentage in the cross-section, based on Mamayev's (1975) method

Downwelling favorable winds + increase in CW volume forces intrusions to subsurface depths in the MN region:

 \rightarrow In winter, SACW retreat to the shelfbreak and the increase in CW volume force intrusions to subsurface depths.

 \rightarrow In summer, intrusions are shallower due to the presence of SACW near the bottom which forces intrusions upwards.

[Castro, 2014; Cerda and Castro, 2014]



Seasonal description

→ Mid-Northern (MN): winter > summer → Mid-Southern (MS): summer > winter





Water mass percentage in the cross-section, based on Mamayev's (1975) method

CW volume apparently dictates the intrusions seasonal frequency:

- → Winter: increase in CW volume fills the whole water column nearshore, not favoring the downwelling of TW [Campos et al., 2000; Castro, 2014]
- → Summer: reduction of CW volume favors the occurrence of subsurface intrusions [Marta-Almeida et al., 2021]



Outline

- Introduction
- Motivation
- Part I Descriptive climatology
- Part II Underlying mixing processes
- Concluding remarks







Why investigate double-diffusion?

→ Thermohaline structure created by an intrusion provides conditions to double diffusion processes. [Toole and Georgi, 1981; Ruddick and Walsh, 1995]

 \rightarrow Double diffusion can be a driving mechanism, but also might act in the growth, steady-state and erosion stages of the intrusion.

[Toole and Georgi, 1981; Ruddick and Walsh, 1995, Beal, 2007]

 \rightarrow The inverse relationship between stratification and thickness found suggest the presence of double-diffusively driven intrusions. [Ruddick and Turner, 1979; Lentz, 2003]



Toole and Georgi (1981). On the dynamics and effects of double-diffusively driven intrusions. Progress in Oceanography, 10(2), 123-145.

Beal, L. M. (2007). Is interleaving in the Agulhas Current driven by near-inertial velocity perturbations?. Journal of physical oceanography, 37(4), 932-945.



Why investigate double-diffusion?

 \rightarrow Turner Angle (Tu)_[Ruddick, 1983]

 $Tu = tg^{-1}(\frac{\alpha\Delta T - \beta\Delta S}{\alpha\Delta T + \beta\Delta S})$

Relative contribution of temperature and salinity in the <u>vertical stability</u> [Radko, 2013]

→ Possibility* of double diffusion processes * Necessary but not sufficient condition [Radko, 2013]

 \rightarrow Typically presented as probability density functions (pdf).





Intrusions destabilize the environment?

In the case of the **MN** region, intrusions does not change the Turner Angle distribution, whether in summer or winter.







Intrusions destabilize the environment?

In the case of the **MN** region, intrusions does not change the Turner Angle distribution, whether in summer or winter.

Unstable to salt fingering with or without intrusions, in summer, probably due to a narrow shelf and small continental runoff in this area, which allows a greater influence of oceanic waters, creating conditions for salt fingering. [Castro, 2014; Cerda and Castro, 2014]





Intrusions destabilize the environment?

In the case of the **MN** region, intrusions does not change the Turner Angle distribution, whether in summer or winter.

Unstable to salt fingering with or without intrusions, in summer, probably due to a narrow shelf and small continental runoff in this area, which allows a greater influence of oceanic waters, creating conditions for salt fingering. [Castro, 2014; Cerda and Castro, 2014]

salty and warm waters (TW) overlaying cold and relatively fresher waters (SACW) [Voorhis et al., 1976; Posmentier and Houghton, 1979]



Intrusions destabilize the environment?

The **MS** contrast with MN, by presenting a Turner Angle distribution skewed to the diffusive convection range without intrusions.













Intrusions destabilize the environment?

The **MS** contrast with MN, by presenting a Turner Angle distribution skewed to the diffusive convection range without intrusions.

Intrusions destabilize the environment in favor of salt fingering in summer and winter keeps skewed to the diffusive convection range.

The region has the presence of a low-salinity strip nearshore throughout the year (Coastal Water) which influences the distribution:

- → Winter: increased CW volume, more diffusive convection [Marta-Almeida et al., 2021]
- → Summer: reduced CW volume + SACW intrusion near-bottom, result in more salt fingering probability [Campos et al., 2000; Marta-Almeida et al., 2021]



Intrusions destabilize the environment?

 \rightarrow co occurrence of both forms of double diffusion **suggests** an important role of double diffusion in growth, stability or erosion. [Ruddick and Walsh, 1995; May e Kelley, 2002; Ruddick e Richards, 2003; Bael, 2007]

 \rightarrow Top layer: diffusive convection [Radko, 2013]

→ Bottom layer: salt fingering [Voorhis et al., 1976; Posmentier and Houghton, 1979]

 \rightarrow Evaluate the Turner Angle pdf only for top and bottom layers of the intrusion.







Top layer is doubly stable in **MN**, but with a second peak in winter within the diffusive convection range:

→ increase in the CW volume in this season [Cerda and Castro, 2014]

The MS has a bimodal distribution, with a peak in the doubly stable and slightly skewed to the diffusive convection (~25%) throughout the year.

 \rightarrow quasi-permanente low-salinity strip nearshore.

[Castro, 1996; Marta-Almeida et al., 2021]





Intrusions destabilize the environment?

The **bottom layer** is susceptible to salt fingering processes in both **MN** and **MS** throughout the year, since there will be salty and warm water above cold and relatively fresher water.

Winter has lower probability of salt fingering, which might be an influence of the increase in the CW volume. [Cerda and Castro, 2014; Marta-Almeida et al., 2021]





Outline

- Introduction
- Motivation
- Part I Descriptive climatology
- Part II Underlying mixing processes
- Concluding remarks





Subsurface thermohaline intrusions at a mid-latitude continental shelf Concluding remarks

The main founding in this work were:

 \rightarrow We know that intrusions have different properties along the shelf, varying based on the volume of the Coastal Water in the region.

 \rightarrow The evidences points to not double-diffusively driven intrusions, since the environment supports double diffusion independently of intrusion.

 \rightarrow However, we cannot reject the double diffusion role completely, since it can be important during the growth, stability and erosion of the intrusions, as supported by the possibility of co occurrence of both forms of double diffusion in the intrusion.





Thank you!

OCEAN SCIENCES MEETING 2022





Oceanográfico



PETROBRAS





M danilo2.silva@usp.br @nilodna

Are these intrusions double-diffusively driven?

 \rightarrow The pdf[Tu] considering the whole water column suggest that the SBB is always unstable to double diffusion, indepently of intrusions.

	${f Theory}^a$	Observed	
Thickness	$O(10^0 m)$	$O(10^1 m)$	
Cross-front velocity	$O(10^{-1}; 10^0 \text{ mm s}^{-1})$	$O(10^2 \text{ mm s}^{-1})$	

^aRuddick and Turner (1979).

 \rightarrow All things considered, double diffusion might take no action in triggering intrusions, but it can be an important process in other stages of an intrusion. [Ruddick et al., 1985;Schmitt, Lueck and Joyce, 1986; May and Kelley, 2002; Beal, 2007]



What is the role of double diffusion?

 \rightarrow the possible coexistence of both forms of double diffusion suggest an influence of these processes on the vertical mixing. [Ruddick and Richards, 2003]

 \rightarrow And what about the shear-driven turbulence?

→ Adapted Bulk Richardson number:

$$Ri_b = rac{< N^2 >_
ho H_I}{U^2}$$

 \rightarrow Ri_b always higher than the critical Ri of 0.25[Miles, 1961]

		\mathbf{Inner}^*	\mathbf{Mid}^*	\mathbf{Outer}^*
Mid-Northern	Summer	2.08	3.55	5.63
	Winter	3.18	3.71	5.07
Mid-Southern	Summer	2.66	4.15	8.65
	Winter	2.14	3.89	7.12

*Cross-shelf compartmentalization based on Castro (2014).







Relação inversa entre N^2 e H fornece argumentos para utilizar a formulação de Ruddick e Turner (1979) para calcular a espessura (H) da intrusão com base em:



No entanto, ao usar essa equação obtivemos espessuras com 1 ordem de grandeza menor que as observadas no conjunto histórico.

O que podemos concluir disso é que talvez a dupla difusão realmente não seja um agente gerador de intrusões.

Nota: Ruddick e Turner (1979) utilizam a teoria da instabilidade linear.











